

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,048	06/26/2001	Bhavesh Mistry	S63.2-9764	1791
490	7590 04/18/2003			
VIDAS, ARRETT & STEINKRAUS, P.A. 6109 BLUE CIRCLE DRIVE SUITE 2000			EXAMINER	
			THOMPSON, KATHRYN L	
MINNETONK	(A, MN 55343-9185		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	a
			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
Advisory Action	09/892,048	MISTRY ET AL	
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kathryn L Thompson	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 26 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eithe condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of this apper: (1) a timely filed amendment woppeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a ti	olication. A proper reply hich places the applica	ly to a ation in
PERIOD FO	R REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f). 	this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set xpire later than SIX MONTHS from the m WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS O	ailing date of the final reject F THE FINAL REJECTION.	ion. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a), fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the pefee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration da (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See	eriod of extension and the corresponding ate of the shortened statutory period for rate office later than three months after the	amount of the fee. The app eply originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appell 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37		•	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	ed because:		•
(a) they raise new issues that would require f	further consideration and/or searc	ch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see N	ote below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicat issues for appeal; and/or	ion in better form for appeal by m	naterially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without caNOTE:	nceling a corresponding number	of finally rejected claim	NS.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) w canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitted in	a separate, timely filed	amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because		onsidered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOLE	LY to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendal explanation of how the new or amended claim			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follo	ows:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-5 and 7.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a)□ approved or b)□ dis	approved by the Exam	iner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s	s) / / /	1//
10. Other:		Midwel /1	toy en
XX	J.	MICHAEL J. HAYES PRIMARY EXAMINE	



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner maintains that Fischell discloses all of Applicant's claimed limitations. In Applicant's Claim 1, wherein Applicant recites, "the balloon being made of a material which includes at least one radiopaque portion," Examiner interprets the word "includes" to mean that the balloon includes a radiopaque portion, not that the balloon is made up of a radiopaque portion. The balloon including a radiopaque portion can be interpreted to mean that the balloon has a radiopaque portion on it. That is, the balloon is of one material and it includes another material, such as a raiopaque portion. If Applicant's intention was to claim a balloon that is made up of a radiopaque material, then Applicant should have used different claim language to postiviely recite his intention. For example, "the balloon being made of a material, said material made of..." or "the balloon being made of a material, said material consisting of..." In addition, with regards to Applicant's after final response, stating that, "Although radiopque ink is preferred, radiopaque metal powder could be used when molding the balloon to create radiopque regions," Examiner does not interpret this statement to mean that the balloon itself is made up of a radiopaque material. Examiner interprets this statement to mean that the balloon is molded (from one material) and then another material (radiopaque metal powder) is added to form radiopaque regions on the balloon.